

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,520	01/27/2004	Alessandro Spaggiari	26218	2209
20529	7590 09/29/2006	•	EXAM	INER
	SSOCIATES	VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M		
112 South W Alexandria,	VA 22314 ·	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3745	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,520	SPAGGIARI, ALESSANDRO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Verdier	3745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	,	1 1	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  sply be timely filed  I'HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3. July 2006		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
, ·	n Ex parto quayro, 1000 G.D.	71, 400 0.0. 210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the ap			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1-27-04</u> , <u>8-4-05</u> is/ar		ected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		` ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Intonvious St	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [_] Other:	<b>→</b>	

Application/Control Number: 10/766,520

Art Unit: 3745

Applicant's Amendment dated July 13, 2006 has been carefully considered but is non-persuasive. Claims 4 and 8-10 are pending. Applicant is thanked for presenting arguments with regard to the applied references.

Applicant's arguments that the configuration in Boeckel 3,303,995 does not allow to channel out any condensate formed inside the central body, without interfering with the blades, and that the aim of Boeckel is simply cooling the fan motor, are not persuasive. The through windows 26 formed in the annular wall inherently will channel out any condensate formed inside the central body 12 (following the flowpath shown by arrows in figure 2). Concerning the argument that the blades will be interfered with, this feature is not recited in the claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPO2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). However, certain ones of the windows 26 allow for channeling out any condensate formed inside the central body without interfering with the blades 16. Applicant's argument that the openings 26 in Boeckel are not formed in the annular wall and at peripheral edges where the base wall and the annular wall connect, is not persuasive. As shown in figures 1-2 of Boeckel, the openings 26 are formed in the annular wall 14 and at peripheral edges where the base wall 13 and the annular wall connect. Applicant's argument that the openings 26 are not each placed in the gap between two adjacent blades and between two adjacent reinforcing ribs having opposing ends formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall and to connect at another end to the inner face of the annular wall to channel out any condensate formed inside the central body, is not persuasive. As seen in figures 1-2 of Boeckel, the openings 26 are each

Application/Control Number: 10/766,520

Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

placed in the gap between two adjacent blades 16 and between two adjacent reinforcing ribs 28 having opposing ends. The reinforcing ribs are formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall 13 (note figure 2) and to connect at another end to the inner face of the annular wall 14 and will inherently channel out any condensate formed inside the central body. Alternatively, Honnold 3,819,294 and Japanese Patent 57-24,499 (both of record) teach fans having central bodies with a base wall connected to an annular wall, with the base wall having a central portion that is thicker than the rest of the base wall, and reinforcing ribs having opposed ends formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall and to connect at another end to the inner face of annular wall, as set forth later below.

Applicant's argument that the orifices 26 in Braun 4,583,911 are not formed in the annular wall and at peripheral edges where the base wall and the annular wall connect, is agreed with. Applicant's argument that Brackett 4,838,760 does not disclose that the reinforcing ribs are formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall, is agreed with.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,520

Page 4

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 4 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boeckel 3,303,995. Boeckel discloses a ventilation unit comprising an electric motor 24 having an output shaft 22 fitted with a fan 10 having a cup-shaped central body 12 and a number of blades 16, the central body being defined by a base wall 13 and by an annular wall 14 extending from and connecting with the base wall, the base wall having a central portion 18 which is thicker than the rest of the base wall, the annular wall having an inner face and an outer face, the number of blades extending from the outer face, a number of reinforcing ribs 28 (see figure 2) having opposing ends formed to connect at an inner end to the central portion of the base wall and to connect at another outer end to the inner face of the annular wall, and a number of through windows 26 formed in the annular wall and at the peripheral edges where the base wall and the annular wall connect, each placed in the gap between two adjacent blades and between two adjacent reinforcing ribs to channel out any condensate formed inside the central body (following the flowpath shown by arrows in figure 2). The number of blades are equally spaced, the number of reinforcing ribs are equally spaced, and the number of through windows are equally spaced.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 4 and 8-10 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boeckel 3,303,995 in view of either (Honnold 3,819,294 or Japanese Patent 57-24,499). Boeckel discloses a ventilation unit substantially as claimed, comprising an electric motor 24 having an output shaft 22 fitted with a fan 10 having a cup-shaped central body 12 and a number of blades 16, the central body being defined by a base wall 13 and by an annular wall 14 extending from and connecting with the base wall, the base wall having a central portion 18 which is thicker than the rest of the base wall, the annular wall having an inner face and an outer face, the number of blades extending from the outer face, a number of reinforcing ribs 28 (see figure 2) having opposing ends formed to connect at another outer end to the inner face of the annular wall, and a number of through windows 26 formed in the annular wall and at the peripheral edges where the base wall and the annular wall connect, each placed in the gap between two adjacent blades and between two adjacent reinforcing ribs to channel out any condensate formed inside the central body (following the flowpath shown by arrows in figure 2). The number of blades are equally spaced, the number of reinforcing ribs are equally spaced, and the number of through windows are equally spaced.

However, Boeckel may alternatively be considered as not disclosing that the reinforcing ribs connect at an inner end to the central portion of the base wall.

Honnold shows a fan having a central body 7 with an unnumbered base wall near 7 connected to an unnumbered annular wall, with the base wall having a circumferentially extending central portion 3 that is thicker than the rest of the base wall, and reinforcing ribs 9

having opposed ends formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall and to connect at another end to the inner face of annular wall, for the purpose of strengthening the central body.

Japanese Patent 57-24,499 (figures 2a-2b and 3) shows a fan having a central body 2 with an unnumbered base wall near 2 connected to an unnumbered annular wall, with the base wall having a circumferentially extending central portion 6 that is thicker than the rest of the base wall, and reinforcing ribs 5 having opposed ends formed to connect at one end to the central portion of the base wall and to connect at another end to the inner face of annular wall, for the purpose of strengthening the central body.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form the ventilation unit of Boeckel such that the reinforcing ribs connect at an inner end to the central portion of the base wall, as taught by either Honnold 3,819,294 or Japanese Patent 57-24,499, for the purpose of strengthening the central body.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3745

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C.V.

September 18, 2006

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745